## **52. Stereoselective Conversion of Campholene- to Necrodane-Type Monoterpenes.** Novel Access to  $(-)$ - $(R,R)$ - and  $(R,S)$ - $\alpha$ -Necrodol and the **Enantiomeric** *y* **-Necrodols**

by **Herv6 Pamingle, Roger L. Snowden,** and **Karl H. Schulte-Elk\*** 

*Firmenich SA,* Research Laboratories, CH-1211 Geneva **8** 

(19.11.91)

Naturally occurring  $(-)$ - $(R, R)$ - $\alpha$ -necrodol  $((-)$ -1) and its C(4)-epimer  $(-)$ -2 are obtained in 84 and 44% yields, respectively, by lithium ethylenediamide (LEDA) treatment of the corresponding  $\beta$ -necrodols  $(-)$ -3 and **(-)-4** *(Scheme I, Table),* both readily available from (-)-campholenyl acetate **((-)-i)** by an efficient stereoselective synthesis. The thermodynamically preferred  $(-)$ - $(R)$ -y-necrodol  $((-)$ -5) becomes the major product ( $\geq 80\%$  yield) after either prolonged treatment with LEDA or exposure of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -necrodols to BF<sub>3</sub>. Et<sub>2</sub>O. In an alternative route,  $(+)$ -5 is prepared starting from  $(+)$ -campholenal  $((+)$ -ii) *via* Pd-catalysed decarbonylation to  $(-)$ - $(S)$ -1,4,5,5 $tetramethylcyclopent-1-ene  $((-)-6)$  and subsequent application of an acid-catalysed CH<sub>2</sub>O-addition/rearrange$ ment sequence *(Scheme* 2).

**Introduction.** - Belonging to a new group of monoterpenoid alcohols [ 11, the isomeric necrodols **1-5** have received much attention as preparatively challenging target molecules *[2]* due to their intriguing non-isoprenoid structures and their remarkable insect-repellant activities.



<sup>1</sup>) Structural correlations of  $(-)$ -i and  $(+)$ -ii with  $(-)$ - $\alpha$ -pinene as well as their natural occurrence have been reported in **[3].** 

The two naturally occurring isomers  $(-)$ -1 and  $(-)$ -3<sup>2</sup>) have especially been the subject of intensive synthetic studies *[2]* [4]. Recently, we have disclosed an efficient synthesis of **(-)-3** by applying a stereoselective *Prinslretro-Prins* -rearrangement sequence *[5]* starting from  $(-)$ -campholenyl acetate  $((-)$ -i). In contrast, the reported routes to the corresponding  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -isomers (-)-1, (-)-2, (-)-5, and (+)-5 are multistep, low-yielding processes [2]. As an improved approach to  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -necrodols, we now report the C=C bond isomerisation of the epimeric  $\beta$ -isomers  $(-)$ -3 and  $(-)$ -4. In addition, we describe a novel access to *(+)-5* by extension of the *Prins* methodology *[5]* to the cyclopentene *(-)-6,* itself readily available from (+)-campholenal **((+)-ii)** by Pd/C-catalysed decarbonylation [6].

**Results.**  $-1$ .  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -Necrodols by  $C = C$  Bond Isomerisation of  $\beta$ -Necrodols. Previous attempts [2] to transform  $(-)$ -3 and  $(-)$ -4 into  $(-)$ -1,  $(-)$ -2, and  $(-)$ -5 using transition metal catalysed  $C=C$  bond isomerisation have generally been unsuccessful. We, therefore, turned to more classical conditions and found that lithium ethylenediamide (LEDA) in ethylenediamine *(Condition a [7])* and BF, . Et,O in Et,O *(Condition b)* were both highly efficient for this purpose. Thus, **(-)-3** and **(-)-4** were readily transformed into the

Table. *LEDA- and BF<sub>3</sub>: Et<sub>2</sub>O-Catalysed Isomerisation of*  $(-)$ *-* $\beta$ *-Necrodol (* $(-)$ *-3) and its Epimer*  $(-)$ *-4* (see *Scheme 1*): *Formation of Natural*  $(-)$ - $\alpha$ -Necrodol  $((-)-1)$ , *its Epimer*  $(-)$ -2, *and*  $(-)$ - $\gamma$ -Necrodol( $(-)-5$ )

| Entry          | <b>Starting</b><br>material | a,               | Condition Reaction<br>time | Yield<br>(dist.) | Product distributions [%] |          |                          |           |                |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|
|                |                             |                  |                            |                  | starting<br>material      | $(-)$ -1 | $(-) - 2$                | $(-) - 5$ | un-<br>known   |
| 1              | $(-) -3$                    | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $8-10$ min                 | 92%              | 0.5                       | 84       |                          | 14        | 1.5            |
| $\overline{c}$ | $(-) -3$                    | a                | 15 h                       | 90%              | 0.1                       | 8        | $-$                      | 90        | 1.9            |
| 3              | $(-) - 3$                   | b                | 15 h                       | $80\%$           | 0.1                       | 0.1      | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 97        | 2.8            |
| $\overline{4}$ | $(-) - 4$                   | a                | $8-10$ min                 | 95%              | 2.5                       |          | 44                       | 52        | 1.5            |
| 5              | $(-) - 4$                   | a                | 15 <sub>h</sub>            | 90%              |                           |          | 2                        | 95        | $\mathfrak{D}$ |
| 6              | $(-) - 4$                   | b                | 15 h                       | 80%              | 0.8                       |          | 0.2                      | 97        | $\mathfrak{D}$ |

<sup>a</sup>) *Condition a:* Li/NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (ca. 1:20; ca. 6 mol-equiv. of LiNHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>); 70°. *Condition h:*  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O/Et_2O$  *(ca.* 1:20; *ca.* 0.1 mol-equiv. of  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ ); 20°.



*a) b)* See *Footnote* a in the *Table.* 

') Both **(-)-I** and *(-)-3* have been isolated from the defence spray of the carrion beetle by *Meinwald* and coworkers [l] and characterised by enantiospecific syntheses [2]. They may be regarded as plant terpene metabolites originating from lavandulol structures [2].

corresponding endocyclic double-bond isomers, the final position of the  $C=C$  bond depending on whether basic or acidic conditions were employed (see Table and Scheme *I).* Careful GC analysis of the isomerisation of **(-)-3** and **(-)-4** revealed that LEDA isomerises the C=C bond into both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -positions, the product distributions being both substrate- and time-dependent; in contrast,  $BF_i \cdot Et_2O$  led to exclusive formation of  $(-)$ -5 without the intermediate appearance of  $(-)$ -1 and  $(-)$ -2.

Thus, treatment of  $(-)$ -3 or  $(-)$ -4 with a freshly prepared solution of lithium  $(6)$ mol-equiv.) in ethylenediamine at 70° (see Table, Entries 1 and 4) resulted in the complete disappearance of the starting material after 8-10 min and clean formation of mixtures of isomeric alcohols in over 90% yield. The mixture obtained from  $(-)$ -3 contained  $(-)$ -1 (84%) and **(-)-5** (14%), whilst that formed from **(-)-4** consisted of **(-)-2** (44%) and **(-)-5** (52 *YO).* Prolongation of the LEDA treatment resulted in further transformation of **(-)-1** and **(-)-2,** leading in both cases, after 15 h, to **(-)-5** as the final product (Entries 2 and 5). On the other hand, exposure of  $(-)$ -3 or  $(-)$ -4 to BF<sub>3</sub>. Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mol-equiv.) in Et,O at 20° (*Entries 3* and 6) resulted in almost complete conversion to  $(-)$ -5 after 15 h. The final product (80–85% yield after distillation) contained less than 1–3% of starting materials and some unidentified by-products.

For structural characterisation, the individual necrodols were isolated by chromatography. The identities of  $(-)$ -1,  $(-)$ -2, and  $(-)$ -5 were confirmed by spectral comparison with authentic samples [2]. Because no racemisation is expected during their formation, these products are thus assumed to have the same optical purity as  $(-)$ -3 and (-)-4 (ca. 94% ee)<sup>3</sup>).

Mechanistically, the markedly different pathways of the  $C=C$  bond isomerisations of **(-)-3** and **(-)-4** are rationalised by the inherently different reaction behaviours of the two catalysts utilised. The fact that LEDA initiates C=C bond isomerisation by allylic deprotonation [7] indicates that the small amount of  $(-)$ -5  $(14\%)$  formed from  $(-)$ -3 (*Entry 1*) may have its origin in the low steric accessibility of  $H-C(3)$  due to the cis-oriented CH,OH-C(1). In contrast, for  $(-)$ -4, in which H-C(3) is as readily accessible as H-C(5), both isomerisation products **(-)-2** and **(-)-5** are formed in comparable amounts. These steric arguments may be used to explain the distinctly slower reaction rate for the transformations  $(-)-3 \rightarrow (-)-1 \rightarrow (-)-5$  in comparison with  $(-)-4 \rightarrow (-) 2\rightarrow (-)$ -5 (see *Table*).

On the other hand,  $BF_i$  Et<sub>1</sub>O, which effects isomerisation by prior electrophilic interaction with the  $C=C$  bond, is less sensitive to steric constraints. The exclusive and direct formation of **(-)-5** from both **(-)-3** and **(-)-4** (Entries *3* and 6) may be, therefore, due to the thermodynamically preferred tetrasubstituted position of the C=C bond. In agreement with this hypothesis is the fact that these C=C bond isomerisations were found to be irreversible under these conditions.

*2.* (+)-y-Necrodol((+)-5) *from* Campholenal **((+)-ii).** With the aim of synthesizing the enantiomer  $(+)$ -5 of y-necrodol<sup>4</sup>) for comparative organoleptic experiments, the approach depicted in Scheme 2 was adopted. On Pd-catalysed decarbonylation of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) We found the following optical rotations in CHCl<sub>3</sub>: (-)-1,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -129.7$ ; (-)-2,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -49.9$ ; (-)-5,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -21.2$ . Previously reported values [2]:  $(-)$ - $(R, R)$ -1,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -76.5$ ;  $(+)$ - $(S, R)$ -2,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +24.5$ ,  $(+)$ - $(S)$ -5,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  = +15.1 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

Enantiomer *(+)-5* was first obtained by *Meinwald* and coworkers [2b] in connection with synthetic work directed towards  $(+)$ -1 and  $(+)$ -3 starting from  $(-)$ -bornyl acetate. **4,** 



*a)* **5%** Pd/C, 180-200°. *b)* Paraformaldehyde, BF,.Et,O, AqO, CH,CI,, *0".* c) LiAlH,, Et,O. *d)* BF,.Et,O, toluene, 15 h.

readily available  $(+)$ -campholenal  $((+)$ -ii; ee ca.  $94\%)$ <sup>1</sup>),  $(-)$ -1,4,5,5-tetramethylcyclopent-1-ene  $((-)-6)$  was obtained in 77% yield [6]. Prins-Blomquist conditions  $(CH_2O,$ Ac,O, BF,. Et,O [S]) then led stereoselectively to the formation of trans-acetate **(+)-7a** as the sole primary reaction product without detectable traces of its cis-stereoisomer (GC limits  $\leq 1\%$ <sup>5</sup>). If equimolar quantities of starting materials were used and the reaction was then quenched by hydrolysis after ca. 90% conversion of  $(-)$ -6 (GC control), the isolated yield of **(+)-7a** was 40%. Under these conditions, only **(+)-5a** (ca. *5%)* and bicyclic ether **(+)-8** (10%) were formed as detectable by-products. Prolongation of the reaction time caused further rearrangement of **(+)-7a** to **(+)-5a,** but also led to increased formation of unidentified by-products. Best yields of **(+)-5a** (ca. **73** %) were obtained by separate treatment of  $(+)$ -7a with BF<sub>3</sub>. Et<sub>5</sub>O in toluene at 20<sup>o</sup>.

As expected, increased formation of ether **(+)-8** was observed by using an excess of paraformaldehyde and may become, if desired, the major product. **A** plausible mechanism is presented in *Scheme 3*. Thus, a  $BF_3$ . OCH<sub>2</sub> complex initially adds to the C=C bond in **(+)-7a** with concomitant 1,2-Me-shift and proton loss to give intermediate **iii,**  which then eliminates AcOH to form ether **(+)-8.** 



**Organoleptic Properties.** - Sensory evaluation of the isomeric *a* - and *y* -necrodols **1-5**  revealed in all cases weak odour profiles with predominant camphoraceous-herbal-like

<sup>&#</sup>x27;) This reaction behaviour of *(-)-6* is identical to that previously observed for **(-)-i** *[5]* 

notes. In addition, no significant odour difference was discerned between optical antipodes.

## **Experimental Part**

## *General.* See [5].

*Starting Materials.* Preparation of the isomeric  $\beta$ -necrodols (-)-3  $((\alpha)^{20} = -17.85$  *(c = 1.68, CHCl<sub>1</sub>)*) and  $(-) -4$   $[$ a $]_0^{20} = -81.7$   $(c = 1.2, CHCl_1)$  and of  $(+)$ -campholenal  $((+)$ -ii;  $[ \alpha ]_0^{20} = +9.6$  (neat); enantiomeric excess *ca*. 94%) as described previously *[5].* 

1. *LEDA-CatalysedIsomerisationof (-)-3and(-)-4.* 1.1. (-)-1 *and* **(-)-S** *from* (-)-3. Alcohol(-)-3(1.8 g, 11.7 mmol) was added to a freshly prepared soh. of Li (0.485 g, 69 mmol) in ethylenediamine (10.8 ml) [7] heated at 70" until the disappearance of *(-)-3 (i.e.* 8-10 min (GC control); *Condition a),* then poured onto ice, extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, washed with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. and with brine to neutrality, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), evaporated, and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation (oven temp. 130°/3 Torr): 1.65 g (92%) of colourless oil, consisting of  $(-)$ -3 (0.5%),  $(-)$ -1(84%),  $(-)$ -5(14%), and unknown products (1.5%; GC). LEDA treatment of  $(-)$ -3 for 15 h led to a mixture (90% yield) of **(-)-3** (0.1 %), **(-)-1(8** %), **(-)-S** (90%), and unknown components (1.9% GC). Separation by prep. GC (5-m *Carbowax* column) gave pure  $(-)$ -1 followed by pure  $(-)$ -5.

*(-)-(I R.4R)-3,4.5,5-Tetramethylcyclopent-2-ene-l-methanol* **((-)-1).** *[a]g* = -129.7 *(c* = 1.1, CHCI,). IR: 3600, 2900, 1460, 1370, 1060, 1000, 850. 'H-NMR: 0.88 *(d, J* = 7.2, 3 H); 0.92 **(s,** 3 **H);** 1.00 **(s,** 3 H); 1.64 *(d,*   $J=1.5$ , 3 H); 2.19 (q,  $J=7.2$ , 1 H); 2.30 (m, 1 H); 3.59 (ABX,  $J=5.4$ , 10.5,  $\Delta=21$ , 2 H); 5.25 (br. s, 1 H). I3C-NMR: 145.8 **(s);** 123.3 *(d);* 63.2 *(t);* 56.5 *(d);* 52.3 *(d);* 43.0(s); 25.0 (y); 23.6 *(4);* 15.2 *(4);* 12.0 *(4).* **MS:** 154(7, *M*<sup>+</sup>), 139 (43), 123 (97), 105 (15), 95 (21), 91 (23), 81 (100), 79 (25), 77 (18), 67 (29), 55 (24), 41 (27).

 $(-)$ -(IR)-2,2,3,4-Tetramethylcyclopent-3-ene-1-methanol ((-)-5). [ $\alpha$ ] $_{10}^{20} = -21.2$  (c = 1.14, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3270, 2900, 1480, 1360, 1000. 'H-NMR: 0.82 (3, 3 H); 1.05 **(s,** 3 H); 1.48 **(s,** 3 H); 1.59 **(s,** 3 H); 1.99 *(m,* 2 H); 2.30 *(m.*  47.6 **(s);** 39.3 *(t);* 27.2 *(4);* 19.9 (4); 14.1 *(4);* 9.1 *(4).* MS: 154 (25, *M+),* 139 (loo), 121 (97), 109 (29), 105 (32), 93 (33), 91 (22), 79 (21), 67 (23), 55 (18), 41 (36). 1 H); 3.62 *(dd, J* = 7.2, 10.8, 1 H); 3.78 *(dd, J* = 6.2, 10.8, 1 H). "C-NMR: 138.7 **(s);** 128.1 **(s);** 64.3 *(t);* 50.5 *(d);* 

1.2.  $(-)-2$  *and*  $(-)-5$  *from*  $(-)-4$ . Alcohol  $(-)-4$  (1 g, 6.5 mmol) was treated with LEDA as described in 1.1 for 8-10 min to afford a mixture (0.95 **g,** 95% yield) of **(-)-4** (2.5%), **(-)-2** (44%), *(-)-S* (52%0), and unknown components (1.5%; GC). After 15 h, the product distribution was **(-)-4** (l%), **(-)-2)** (2%), *(-)-S* (95%), and unknown components (2%; GC). Separation by prep. GC (5-m *Carbowax* column) gave pure **(-)-2** followed by *(-)-5. (-)-(I R,4S)-3,4,5,5-Tetramethylcyclopent-2-ene-I-methanol((-)-2).* [a]g = -49.9 *(c* = 1.2, CHCI,). IR: 3600, 3040, 1360, 1040. 'H-NMR: 0.82 **(s,** 3 H); 0.90 *(d, J* = 7.2, 3 H); 1.08 *(s,* 3 H); 1.68 *(d, J* = 1.4, 3 H); 2.1 I *(4.*   $J = 7.2, 1 \text{ H}$ ); 2.36 (*m*, 1 H); 3.56 (*ABX, J* = 6, 11, *d* = 38, 2 H); 5.24 (br. *s*, 1 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 145.3 (*s*); 123.3 (*d*); 63.8 *(t);* 57.8 *(d);* 53.3 *(d);* 43.3 **(s);** 30.6 *(4);* 18.3 *(4);* 15.2 *(4);* 13.6 *(4).* MS: 154(5, *M+),* 139 (7), 123 (loo), 105 **(8),**  95 (Il), 91 (13), 81 (67).

1.3 *LEDA Treatment of (-)-5.* Pure *(-)-S* (0.3 g, 1.95 mmol) was heated in a mixture of Li (0.3 g, 42.8 mmol) in ethylenediamine (5 ml) at 70° for 15 h *(Condition a)*.  $\overline{GC}$ : no formation of  $(-)$ -1,  $(-)$ -2,  $(-)$ -3, or  $(-)$ -4  $(\overline{GC}$  limits *ca.* 0.5%).

2.  $BF_3$ . Et<sub>2</sub>O-Catalysed Isomerisation of  $(-)$ -3 and  $(-)$ -4 to  $(-)$ -5. A 35:65 mixture  $(-)$ -3/ $(-)$ -4 (1 g, 6.5) mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml) was stirred with BF<sub>3</sub>. Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.15 ml) overnight at r.t. *(Condition b)*. The soln. was washed with brine until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), evaporated, and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation (oven temp. 130°/4 Torr) to yield a mixture (0.8 g, 80%) of **(-)-3** (0.1 %), **(-)-4** (0.8%), (-)-1 (I%), **(-)-2** (I%), **(-)-S** (95%), and known components *(ca.* 2.1%; GC) as a colourless oil. Purified  $(-)$ -5  $([\alpha]]_D^{20} = -21$  *(c =* 1.95, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)) was spectrally identical with an authentic sample *(vide supra).* 

3. *Decarbonylation of (+)-Campholenal* **((+)-ii)** *to (-)-(4S)-1,4,5.S-Tetramethylcyclopent-l-ene* ((-)-6). Heating of  $(+)$ -ii (500 g, 3.29 mol) together with 5% Pd/C (2.5 g) at 180-200° (oil bath) in a *Vigreux* distillation apparatus under stirring resulted in the continuous formation and distillation of **(-)-6** (313 g, 77%). Colourless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -0.9$  (neat). B.p. 126<sup>o</sup>/760 Torr. GC purity: *ca.* 90%. IR: 3030, 2950, 1450, 1010, 790. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 0.75 (s, 3 H); 0.93 *(d, J* = 7.2, 3 H); 0.95 **(s,** 3 H); 1.61 (br. s, 3 H); 1.84 *(m,* 2 H); 2.25 *(m,* 1 H); 5.22 (br. s, **1** H). I3C-NMR: 148.5 **(s);** 122.1 *(d);* 46.8 **(s);** 44.8 *(d);* 37.8 *(t);* 25.7 *(4);* 19.5 *(4);* 14.4 *(4);* 12.8 *(4).* MS: 124 (15, *M+),* 109 (loo), 91 (14), 79 (19), 67 (30), 55 (6).

4. Prins-Blomquist *Reaction of (-)*-6:  $(+)$ -5a,  $(+)$ -7a, and  $(+)$ -8.  $BF_3$ ·Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 ml) was added dropwise at  $0^{\circ}$  to a stirred mixture of (-)-6 (250 g, 2.02 mol), paraformaldehyde (72 g, 2.4 mol), Ac<sub>2</sub>O (280 ml), and **2,6-di(tert-butyl)-4-methyIphenol** (0.5 g) in CH,CI, (1.5 1). The mixture was stirred overnight at r.t. and then poured onto brine, and the org. phase was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO3 and NaCl soln., dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. GC: < 10% of (-)-6, 14% of (+)-8, 60% of (+)-7a, 9% of (+)-5, and 7-10% unknown. Distillation of the crude oil (32-100°/7 Torr) afforded a colourless oil (245 g). Fractional distillation using a 20-cm column packed with stainless steel helices at 0.5 Torr gave 50 g of a head fraction  $((-)-6 \text{ and } (+)-8)$ ; b.p.  $\leq 50^{\circ}$ ) and 178 g of (+)-7a/(+)-5a 9:l (b.p. 50'-57"; 45% yield). Separation by prep. GC (5-m Carbowax column) afforded pure samples of (+)-5a, (+)-7a, and **(+)-8.** 

 $(+)$ -(1S)-(2,2,3,4-Tetramethylcyclopent-3-enyl)methyl Acetate ((+)-5a).  $[\alpha]_{0}^{20}$  = +0.82 (neat.). IR: 2900, 1730, 1440, 1360, 1230, 1020. 'H-NMR: 0.82 **(s, 3** H); 1.05 (s, **3** H); 1.49 (s, **3** H); 1.59 (s, **3** H); 1.98 *(m,* 1 H); 2.06 (d); **39.2** (t); 27.0 *(4);* 21.0 *(4);* 19.9 *(4);* 14.1 *(4);* 9.2 *(4).* MS: 196 (2, *M'),* 136 (17), 121 (loo), 105 (15), 93 (17), 79  $(s, 3 H)$ ; 1.92  $(m, 1 H)$ ; 2.25  $(m, 1 H)$ ; 4.13  $(m, 2 H)$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 171.3  $(s)$ ; 138.5  $(s)$ ; 127.9  $(s)$ ; 65.9  $(t)$ ; 47.7  $(s)$ ; 46.8 (81~67 **(3~** *55* (4), 43 (23).

 $(+)$ -(1S,4S)-(3,3,4-Trimethyl-2-methylidenecyclopentyl)methyl Acetate ((+)-7a).  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +5.8$  (c = 3.42, CHC1,). IR: 2900, 1720, 1450, 1360, 1210, 1020, **880.** 'H-NMR: 0.84 **(s, 3** H); 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.8, **3** H); 1.03 (s, **3** H); 1.52(m, 1 H); 1.70(m,2H);2.06(s, **3** H);2.88(m, 1 H); 3.91 (dd,J = 9, 10.8, 1 H);4.05(dd,J = 5.4,10.8,1 H);4.90 *(m, 2 H).* <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 171.0 *(s)*; 162.1 *(s)*; 105.5 *(t)*; 68.0 *(t)*; 44.9 *(s)*; 42.1 *(d)*; 40.8 *(d)*; 34.2 *(t)*; 27.0 *(q)*; 23.3 *(q)*; 21.0 (y); 14.1 *(4).* MS: 196 (0, *M+),* 151 (l), 136 (23), 121 (IOO), 107 (45),93 (37), 79 (17), 43 (47).

 $(+)$ -(1 **S**,6S)-6,7,8-Trimethyl-3-oxahicyclo[4.3.0]non-7-ene **((+)-8).**  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +3.7$  (c = 2.27, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 2800, 1440, 1110. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 0.96 (t, J = 3.6, 1 H); 0.98 (s, 3 H); 1.48 (s, 3 H); 1.56 (m, 1 H); 1.61 (s, 3 H); 1.80 (m, 2 H); 2.69 (m. 1 H); 3.28 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 16.2, 1 H); **3.38** (m, 1 H); 3.59 (m, 1 H); 3.69 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 10.8, 1 H). I3C-NMR: 136.9 **(s);** 129.7 **(s);** 68.6 (t); 64.7 (t); 45.9 **(s);** 43 *(d);* 38.2 (t); 33.6 (t); 24.6 *(4);* 14.3 *(4);* 9.4 *(4).* MS: 166 (47, *M+),* 151 (49, 133 (15), 121 **(XX),** 107 (IOO), 96 (22), 93 (69), 79 (30), 67 (17), 53 (13), 41 (35).

*5. BF<sub>3</sub>*. *Et<sub>3</sub>O-Treatment of (+)-7a.* BF<sub>3</sub>. Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml) was added dropwise at r.t. to a stirred soln. of (+)-7a (120 g, 0.61 mol) in toluene (1 1) and then stirred overnight at r.t. The black mixture was poured onto brine, washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and NaCl soln., dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and evaporated. Distillation afforded (+)-5a as a colourless oil (87.7 g, 73 %). **B.p.** 53-57"/0.3 Torr.

6. LiAIH, Reduction *of* (+)-5a and (+)-7a to *(+)-5* and *(+)-I,* resp. A soh. of (+)-5a or (+)-7a *(5* g, 25 mmol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.722 g, 19 mmol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml). During the addition, the temp. rose to *35".* The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then cooled to O"(ice-bath). **H20** (0.722 ml), NaOH **(15%,** 0.722 ml), and **H20** (2.17 ml) were successively added under vigourous stirring. The mixture was stirred for further 30 min and filtered and the filtrate evaporated. Bulb-to-bulb distillation (oven temp. **130"/3** Torr) afforded *(+)-5* (3.34 g, 85%) or (+)-7 (3.8 g, 97%) as colourless oils.

 $(+)$ -(IS)-2,2,3,4-Tetramethylcyclopent-3-ene-1-methanol  $((+)$ -5). [ $\alpha$ ] $_{10}^{20}$  = +3.5  $(c = 1.4, CHCl<sub>1</sub>)$ . IR: 3300, 2900, 1440, 1020. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 0.83(s, 3H); 1.05(s, 3H); 4.90(s, 3H); 1.60(s, 3H); 1.99(m, 2H); 2.31(m, 1H); 3.62 *(dd,J=7.2,10.8,1H);3.78(dd,J=5.4,* 10.8,1H).MS: 154(25,M+), **139(100),12(97),109(30),105(34),93(33).** 

 $(+)$ -(IS,4S)-3,3,4-Trimethyl-2-methylidenecyclopentane-I-methanol ((+)-7). [a] $^{20}_{0} = +5.9$  (c = 3.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3300, 2900, 1640, 1450, 1020, 880. 'H-NMR: *0.85* **(s, 3** H); 0.89 *(d, J* = 3.6, **3** H); 1.04 (s, **3** H); 1.54 (m, 1 H); 1.72 *(m,* 2 H); 2.75 (m, 1 H); 3.56 (d, *J* = 6.3, 2 H); 4.87 *(d, J* = 2.2, 1 H); 4.93 (d, *J* = 2.2, 1 H). I3C-NMR: 163.0 **(s);** 104.8 *(1);* 66.1 **(s);** 44.9 (d); 42.5 (d); 34.1 *(1);* 26.9 *(4);* 23.4 *(4);* 14.2 *(4).* MS: 154 **(3,** *M+).* 136(17), 121 (IOO), 107 **(39, 93** (37), **81 (XO),** 67 (43), *55* **(32),** 41 (24).

## REFERENCES

- [I] T. Eisner, **J.** Meinwald, Psyche **1982,** 8Y, 357; T.Eisner, M. Deyrup, R. Jacobs, **J.** Meinwald, *J.* Chem. Ecol. 1986,12, 1407; **J.** Meinwald, *Ann. N. Y.* Acad. Sci. 1986,471, 197.
- [2] a) B. Roach, T. Eisner, **J.** Meinwald, *J.* Org. *Chem.* 1990,50,4047; b) R. T. Jacobs, G. **J.** Feutrill, J. Meinwald, ibid. 1990, 50, 4051.
- **[3] A.** F. Thomas, *Helv.* Chim. Acta 1972,55, 815.
- [4] W. Oppolzer, P. Schneider, *Helv.* Chim. *Actu* 1986,69, 1817; B.M. Trost, R. Braslau, Tetruhedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1231.
- *[5]* K. H. Schulte-Eke, H. Pamingle, *Helv.* Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 1158.
- [6] Takeo Kurata, Yukugaku **1981,30,** 562 *(CA:* 96,202790); G. Kruppa, H. Suhr, Liebigs. Ann. Chem. 1980,5, 677.
- [7] L. Reggel, **S.** Friedman, **J.** Wender, *J.* Org. Chem. 1958,23, 1136; **B.** N. Joski, R. Seshadri, K. K. Chakravarti, S.C. Bhattacharyya, Tetrahedron 1964, 20, 2911.
- **[8]** A. T. Blomquist, R. J. Himics, *J.* Org. Chem. 1968,33, 1156.